



# United States Patent and Trademark Office



200

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. |                  | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|------------------|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/993,176      | 3,176 11/19/2001 |             | Klaus Herrmann       | P01,0398 6825           |                  |
| 26574           | 7590             | 11/06/2003  | EXAMINER             |                         |                  |
| SCHIFF H        |                  | & WAITE     | JUNG, WILLIAM C .    |                         |                  |
| 233 S WAC       |                  | · ·         | ART UNIT             | PAPER NUMBER            |                  |
| CHICAGO,        | IL 606           | 06-6473     | 3737                 | (0                      |                  |
|                 |                  |             |                      | DATE MAILED: 11/06/2003 | <sub>3</sub> ٩٠  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| <b>P</b>   |   | Application No.  |   | Applicant(s)   |                     |  |  |  |
|--|---|--|---|--|---------------------|--|--|--|
|  |   | Application No.  |   | Applicant(s)   |                     |  |  |  |
| ز  | Office Action Summany   | 09/993,176   |   | HERRMANN, KLA  | NUS<br>             |  |  |  |
| ,,,  | Office Action Summary   | Examiner   |   | Art Unit   |                     |  |  |  |
|  | The MAILING DATE of this communication ap   | William Jung   | hoot with the o   | 3737   | Idross              |  |  |  |
| Period f   | The MAILING DATE of this communication ap<br>or Reply   | pears on the cover s   | meet with the C   | orrespondence ad   | uress               |  |  |  |
| THE - External after - If the results of the result | MORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repo period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  | 136(a). In no event, however by within the statutory minim will apply and will expire SIX e. cause the application to be | er, may a reply be tim<br>tum of thirty (30) days<br>X (6) MONTHS from<br>ecome ABANDONEI | ely filed<br>will be considered timel<br>the mailing date of this coorsists U.S.C. § 133). | y.<br>ommunication. |  |  |  |
| 1)⊠  | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19  | November 2001 .  |   |  |                     |  |  |  |
| 2a)□   | This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ TI   | his action is non-fina   | al.   |  |                     |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims   |   |  |   |  |                     |  |  |  |
| 4)[  | Claim(s) is/are pending in the applicat   | ion.   |   |  |                     |  |  |  |
|  | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra  | awn from considerati   | ion.  |  |                     |  |  |  |
| 5)□  | Claim(s) is/are allowed.  |  |   |  |                     |  |  |  |
| 6)⊠  | Claim(s) 1-38 is/are rejected.  |  |   |  |                     |  |  |  |
| 7)   | Claim(s) is/are objected to.  |  |   |  |                     |  |  |  |
| •  | Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o   | or election requirem   | ent.  |  |                     |  |  |  |
|  | The specification is objected to by the Examine   | or   |   |  |                     |  |  |  |
| •  |   |  | · h)□ objected t  | o by the Examine   | r                   |  |  |  |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on 19 November 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  |   |  |   |  |                     |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  |   |  |   |  |                     |  |  |  |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.   |   |  |   |  |                     |  |  |  |
| 12)  | The oath or declaration is objected to by the E   | •  |   |  |                     |  |  |  |
| .—   | under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  |  |   |  |                     |  |  |  |
| _  | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig  | ın priority under 35 l   | U.S.C. § 119(a  | )-(d) or (f).  |                     |  |  |  |
| -  | )⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:  | ,  | • • •   | , , , , ,  |                     |  |  |  |
| ,  | 1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documen  | its have been receiv   | ed.   |  |                     |  |  |  |
|  | 2. Certified copies of the priority documen   |  |   | on No  |                     |  |  |  |
|  | Copies of the certified copies of the pricapplication from the International Beautiful Beau | ority documents hav<br>ureau (PCT Rule 17  | e been receive<br>'.2(a)).  | d in this National   | Stage               |  |  |  |
|  | See the attached detailed Office action for a lis   |  |   |  |                     |  |  |  |
| -  | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes   |  |   |  | application).       |  |  |  |
|  | a) $\square$ The translation of the foreign language pr<br>Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes  |  |   |  |                     |  |  |  |
| Attachme   | nt(s)   |  |   |  |                     |  |  |  |
| 2) Noti  | ce of References Cited (PTO-892)<br>ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)<br>rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)   | 5) 🔲 N   |   | (PTO-413) Paper No<br>Patent Application (PT   |                     |  |  |  |

Art Unit: 3737

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- 2. Claims 1 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by *Yanof et al* (US 6,149,592).

Claims 1, 7, 20, and 26: Yanof et al anticipate all claimed invention in claims 1 and 20. Yanof et al disclose of method and apparatus of generating three-dimensional volume data and two-dimensional images from the volume data (frame or projection) with marker locating a reference points 70, 72 of object in interest. The markers represent the reference point to characterize the location of the images (col. 2, lines 32-56).

Claims 2, 16, 21, and 35: Yanof et al's imaging system and method is further described as being X-ray imaging and furthermore, the X-ray imaging system and method is a C-arm Computed -Tomography (col. 4, lines 7-25).

Claims 3, 4, 5, 22, 23, and 24: Yanof et al also disclose of the imaging system and method described above with the use of X-ray driven to generate volume data via projection where the X-ray driver includes motor that is automated to created multiple images (col. 3, lines 34-60). Furthermore, the characterization of the reference point is in communication with the drive controlling the x-ray device (col. 4, lines 48-64).

Art Unit: 3737

Claims 6, 8, 25, and 27: Yanof et al disclose of generating the volume data set through the use of computer and image processor 102, 120 along with image display 134 to display 134 the volume data (col. 6, lines 31-44; col. 6, lines 53-65).

Claims 10, 11, 12, 29, 30, and 31: Furthermore, Yanof et al disclose of the characterization of the reference point is in communication with the drive controlling the x-ray device where the movement of the reference marker is automatically correlated with the movement of the rotation of the C-arm (col. 4, lines 48-64).

Claims 17, 18, 19, 36, 37, and 38: Yanof et al disclose of angular rotation about an orbital axis to generate the volume data set through CT imager. Previously, Yanof et al disclosed of using C-ram x-ray to achieve the volume data set. Therefore, Yanof et al clearly anticipate that the C-arm can be applied to create volume data set as in CT imaging device and method.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 9 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Yanof et al* as applied to claims 1 and 19 above, and further in view of Hardy et al (US 5,354,314).

Yanof et al substantially disclose of all claimed invention in claims 9 and 28 where the computer system to generate the volume data from the x-ray projection inherently includes computer input peripheral device such as keyboard, mouse, trackball (inverted mouse), touch pen, etc., with exception of inputting the marker. Hardy et al disclose the use of touch screen as

Art Unit: 3737

input device when using imaging device such as CT (col. 12, line 53 – col. 13, lines 22). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the teachings of Yanof et al to the teachings of Hardy et al's touch screen input to achieve the claimed invention.

5. Claims 13, 14, 15, 32, 33, and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Yanof et al* as applied to claims 1 and 19 above, and further in view of *McNeirney et al* (US 6,096,049).

Yanof et al substantially disclose of all claimed invention in claims 13, 14, 15, 32, 33, and 34. Furthermore, McNeirney et al teach that the light or optical guiding of a medical device or imaging device is well known, where light, optical or laser is used to indicate the point of interest for the medical imaging device (col. 2, lines 25-57). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the teachings of Yanof et al to the teachings of McNeirney et al's light or optical guidance of medical imaging device to achieve the claimed invention.

### Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

**Schuetz** (US 6,206,566)

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William Jung whose telephone number is 703-605-4364. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:30 AM to 5 PM.

Art Unit: 3737

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dennis Ruhl can be reached on 703-308-2262. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1148.

*WCJ*October 30, 2003

DENNIS W. PUHL SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER